

Appendix H

Two Dead Elephants in Parliament

In preparation for debates within the Australian Parliament, Roberts (2010) prepared a briefing paper on the UN IPCC claims for members of Parliament. An excerpt from that report is provided on the following pages.

Reference

Roberts, M. 2010. Two Dead Elephants in Parliament, SPPI Reprint Series, March 10, 2010.
http://www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/pdf/dead_elephants.pdf

SUMMARY

Conclusions to Date from the UN IPCC's Patterns of Unscientific Behaviour

The following conclusions are obtained from examining this catalogue's list of UN IPCC misrepresentations of science. We are now seeing publicly what eminent UN IPCC scientists have been saying since the UN IPCC's second report in 1995—the UN IPCC peddles bogus science for political objectives. This bogus 'science' includes:

- Science being bent, distorted, fabricated, tampered with, destroyed, hidden, misrepresented;
- Frequent falsities deliberately fabricated yet claimed to be scientific;
- UN IPCC 'peer review' typically contradicts what is commonly accepted as scientific peer review practices;
- The UN IPCC even bypasses its own typically ineffective peer reviews;
- Activists, non-experts and non-scientists bypass or distort peer review rendering it useless and rendering science broken;
- Expert reviewers being prevented from checking the UN IPCC's claimed results;
- The UN IPCC's fraudulent attempts to reassure people that its fabrications are the product of scientific procedures;
- Dishonesty prevailing—systemically embedded with political objectives;
- False, unscientific claims appear clearly aimed at spurring public alarm to motivate government action in support of UN IPCC objectives. This has been achieved by politically altering scientific reports and feeding these to the media to produce frightening headlines.

In developing its core claims and alarming projections, the UN IPCC does not use solid data, sound analysis, objective assessment and considered judgement. In fabricating its core claims solid data, sound analysis, objective assessment and honest considered judgement are systemically and consistently avoided. This applies to many of its supporting emotive claims driving public alarm aimed at motivating governments and voters to comply with its agenda.

The UN IPCC's many very serious breaches of scientific integrity and scientific peer review and its dishonesty are at the heart of its core climate claims and many supporting alarming projections. Specifically, as detailed in later sections of this report, fraud underpins the UN IPCC's claims. Examples include:

- Its core temperature claim was fabricated by a junior scientist while bypassing and initially preventing peer review by scientists until exposed by scientific detective work. Yet Earth's two accurate global temperature records (weather balloons, later supplemented by satellites) show no net warming since 1958. Satellite measurements show that UN IPCC predictions of tropospheric warming are wrong;
- Tampering of Earth's three ground based temperature records to falsely show warming when there has been no warming. Specifically, the Climate Research Unit (CRU), a key source of UN IPCC ground based temperature data is corrupt. USA's NASA and NOAA now face claims of tampering and corrupting their records of ground based temperature data. The original ground based measurements reveal no net warming since 1890;
- Its core claim on atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) levels was falsified and unscientifically fabricated. It omitted reliable records showing higher levels of CO₂ during the last 180 years;

- Political summary reports presented to the media and national governments contradict UN IPCC scientists' reports. This is combined with political reports being written and presented to the media and governments before the scientific reports were written. Scientific reports have been altered and key graphs and supporting data changed without advising the scientists and without peer review;
- Falsely inferring scientists supported conclusions stated in UN IPCC political reports;
- Using apparently deliberate errors in data and calculations;
- Perpetrating misleading, incomplete, incorrect wrong or inadequate statements;
- Claims of alarm were fabricated without scientific data and based on anecdotes, comments by non-experts including activists and breaches of scientific peer review. The UN IPCC's unfounded claims of alarm have been contradicted by eminent scientists - experts in their fields. Unfounded claims of alarm include:
 - Himalayan glacier scandal - glaciers are not melting alarmingly. Contrary to the UN IPCC report, some Himalayan glaciers are advancing;
 - Amazon rainforest is not being adversely affected by climate and the UN IPCC's claim 40% will be seriously affected is unfounded;
 - Fabrications falsely promoting alarm about hurricanes, storms, droughts, floods and other normal weather events contradict expert science and data;
 - Unfounded claims of increased insect-borne disease contradict science and data;
 - Unfounded claims of animal and plant extinction;
 - Unfounded alarm about human food production;
 - Unfounded claims of devastation of African agriculture;
 - Unfounded claims of mud flows and avalanches in coastal Latin America due to glaciers;
 - Unfounded exaggeration of risks to Australian climate driven by an apparent concern that UN IPCC's reports may not be sufficiently scary;
 - There is no scientific evidence for catastrophes;
 - Scary newspaper story of cold showers, rotting food, etc used as a reference in UN IPCC report;
 - Unfounded alarm about sea levels;
- More than 20 additional cases of unsubstantiated UN IPCC alarm based on apparently unsupported claims by activists have been revealed and are being pursued;
- Lack of any scientifically measured real-world evidence or data that humans caused global warming. The UN IPCC has no such scientific evidence;
- Its implied claim that 4,000 scientists support its core claim that humans warmed Earth, yet only five (5) scientists endorsed the claim and there's doubt they were even scientists. There is no consensus of scientists in support of the UN IPCC's core claim. Many eminent scientists world-wide disagree with and criticise the UN IPCC. These include eminent UN IPCC scientists;
- Relies only on creatively conjured and erroneous computer models that fabricated outputs purported to be 'data'. These are fed to, and used by the media instead of scientifically measured real-world data;
- Chairman Rajendra Pachauri has apparent serious conflicts of financial interest and behaviours contradicting the UN IPCC's advice to governments;
- Senior members have repeatedly justified use of unfounded alarm;

- Ignoring, and even omitting, accepted significant natural causes of warming; and,
- Ignoring significant benefits of natural global warming.

According to McLean¹, the UN IPCC's 2001 report stated, quote: 'chapter 1 admitted that the changes in temperature did not necessarily mean that a human influence on climate had been identified and that the changes may be natural'. That truth was immediately buried by a 'theory' that contradicts the laws of physics and Nature.

The UN IPCC's core greenhouse gas effect 'theory' contradicts the Laws of Physics and Nature. It's not even a theory, not even a supposition. The UN IPCC's 'theory' is impossible and unnatural. Nature controls atmospheric CO2 levels. The UN IPCC's greenhouse claim is not scientific. It's a falsity.

Data obtained from the UN IPCC itself on its own processes for producing its reports reveals that UN IPCC processes are unscientific and not able to support the UN IPCC's core claims.

The UN IPCC's distortion of the scientific method, it's blind unfounded criticism of justified scientific challenge (legitimate scepticism), its politicised policies and its political propaganda could well damage science in the public's eye for decades.

Fraud is rife in the UN IPCC. It has been driven from the highest levels of the UN IPCC and its sponsoring organisation, UNEP (UN Environmental program) since its inception. The UN IPCC does not work for national governments, it works for the UNEP agenda.

The UN IPCC's global warming fabrication is dishonest - and, in places, apparently possibly criminal.

The UN IPCC and its executives have thwarted and discredited real scientific research stifling real science and hindering knowledge of climate. The UN IPCC has stifled humanity's progress.

UN IPCC reports were claimed to be the basis for the UN FCCC's Copenhagen conference that was a complete failure. That failure was unavoidable because without any scientific real-world measured evidence of human effect on global climate, delegates pursued personal and national political agenda.

The current Australian government is complicit in promoting UN IPCC falsities and neglecting reality. eg:

- The Prime Minister assisted by spreading the falsity that the UN IPCC's core claims are supported by 4,000 scientists. They are not;
- In answer to Senator Steve Fielding's three simple questions, Senator Wong and her advisers have not been able to provide any scientific proof that humans caused global warming;
- Senator Wong's department appears to be spreading unfounded alarm using tactics similar to the UN IPCC's tactics;
- Senator Wong has misrepresented science and climate to her own party;
- Alarm propagated by the government about the Great Barrier Reef is contradicted by science

¹ McLean, J, 2007. 'Why the IPCC should be Disbanded'. Science & Public Policy Institute. [http:// scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/mclean-disband_the_ipcc.pdf](http://scienceandpublicpolicy.org/images/stories/papers/originals/mclean-disband_the_ipcc.pdf) [Accessed: December, 2009]

- Prime Minister Rudd supported Copenhagen calls for ‘reparations’ to Africa for extreme weather events falsely purported to be caused by developed nations’ production of CO2. Yet that claim is just another unfounded UN IPCC claim driving alarm. That the government did not check the source before committing to billions of dollars in ‘reparations’ shows gross negligence toward taxpayers’ money.

Global warming is not a problem. There is a problem with the United Nations – a very serious problem. There is a serious problem with the Australian government’s department of Climate Change and Water and the behaviour of its minister, Senator Wong.

Adopting the government’s climate policy will seriously damage the environment.

Firstly, Canadian environmentalist Lawrence Solomon puts it into perspective on page 210 of his book entitled: “The Deniers” when he says, quote: “But Kyoto is not an insurance policy. Just the opposite, it is the single greatest threat today to the global environment, because it makes carbon into currency. Carbon is the element upon which all living things are built. With carbon a kind of currency—which is what all carbon taxes and carbon trading and similar schemes do—all ecosystems suddenly have a commercial value that makes them subject to manipulation for gain.”

Secondly, the UN IPCC’s push to artificially increase energy prices is a serious threat to the environment, especially in poor nations. Thirdly, focussing on a non-problem—the UN IPCC’s fabrication of global warming—diverts attention and scarce resources from addressing real environmental and humanitarian challenges.

For the government it seems CO2 does not really matter. All that matters is initiating some form of Emissions Trading Scheme.

Senator Wong’s actions have seriously and for no sound reason damaged:

- science and particularly climate science, the scientific process and peer review;
- the environment—and worsened the greatest global environmental threat (carbon trading);
- the economy—and worsened humanitarian threats, particularly to the poor and vulnerable.

Senator Wong’s actions, through unfounded coercion and misrepresentations aimed at encouraging Australia’s adoption of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change’s Copenhagen agreement threaten to:

- destroy personal freedom of Australians;
- destroy Australia’s sovereignty and democracy through ceding governance to the UN;
- erode morality, misrepresent humanity and derail people’s inherent environmental care;
- end energy independence, the key to our civilisation’s productivity, material security, well-being and ease.

Senator Wong’s immediate and unsound defence of the UN IPCC over the unfolding Himalayan glaciers scandal demonstrated Senator Wong seems to be either:

- ill-advised; and/or;
- blind to UN IPCC flaws despite these being made clear to her in writing on numerous occasions; and/or
- involved in corruptly, fraudulently using the UN IPCC reports for personal/political gain.

The institutions of government and major political parties have failed Australia and the environment. We need less government, not bigger remote UN governance. As Opposition Leader, the current Prime Minister's 2007 election campaign on climate had no credible foundation and misled the people.

Combining the above with an understanding of Nature's powerful drivers of global climate, the only plausible policy is continuing to adapt to ongoing natural cyclic climate variability as humans have done for thousands of years. To deviate valuable resources to a failed theory peddled by bogus and fraudulent science leaves the nation and the planet vulnerable.

To destroy Australia's economy for a non-problem is irresponsible and heartless. With the evidence now clear, any politician assisting that deviation of resources will be doing so with no scientific foundation and likely for purely political reasons. Such politicians will be responsible for the deaths, in undeveloped nations, of millions of people, or at best, the tragic consequences of consigning millions to live in greater misery.

If the UN IPCC was a company it would be up for fraud. UN IPCC reports are no basis for the government's global warming policy and Carbon Pollution Reduction Scheme, CPRS.

Please ... Senator Wong:

- ▶ **launch an immediate, independent inquiry into the UN IPCC and its reports;**
- ▶ cease the destruction of science;
- ▶ cease the use of fear and guilt based on a combination of erroneous computer models projecting unfounded and unlikely future scenario with anecdotes that bypassed peer review;
- ▶ cease labelling and promoting CO₂ as a pollutant;
- ▶ dismiss the CPRS; and
- ▶ until you have scientifically measured real-world proof that human production of CO₂ caused global warming, cease your unfounded and false claims of climate alarm.

“If the IPCC wasn't there, why would anyone be worried about climate change²?”

Rajendra Pachauri, *UN IPCC Chairman*

Members of Parliament, please, do your due diligence using real science. Speak out. Vote against the CPRS. Stop toying with climate fraud. Instead, debate real environmental and humanitarian challenge.

2 Rajendra Pachauri, Chairman of the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Science, February 5, 2010.